

07/02/2002





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/302,859 04/30/1999 ROBERT ADAMS AD-200J 7551

7590

JOSEPH S IANDIORIO IANDIORIO AND TESKA 260 BEAR HILL ROAD WALTHAM, MA 024511018

EXAMINER KIM, KEVIN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2634

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
<b>1</b>					
	Office Action Summary	09/302,859	ADAMS ET AL.		
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
<del></del>	The SHAU INC DATE of this communication and	Kevin Y Kim	2634		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sicions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 /	April 1999 .			
2a)□		is action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		matters prosecution as to the merits is		
,	closed in accordance with the practice under				
·	on of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	ion Papers	r election requirement			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 1999</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachmer	at(s)				
2) X Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:		

Application/Control Number: 09/302,859

Art Unit: 2634

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1,3,4,6,7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vallancourt (US 5,973,518).

Consider claims 1 and 7. Referring to Fig. 3, Vallancourt discloses "a filter system" comprising an input circuit (OA4), a sampling circuit (see capacitor and switched) and a feedback circuit. Regarding claims 4 and 9 additionally reciting a quantizer, see col.2, ll.19-26. The op amp (OA4) shown in Fig.4 reads on a summing

Application/Control Number: 09/302,859

Art Unit: 2634

circuit, recited in claims 3 and 6, because it receives an input signal and a feedback signal and provides an amplified difference between the two signals.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 2,4,8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vallancourt (US 5,973,518).

Vallancourt disclose all the subject matter claimed but failed to specify the gain of the amplifier (OA4). However, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to vary the amplifier gain in the Vallancourt's apparatus to meet different performance requirements since applicant has not disclosed the claimed gain solves any stated problems or are for any particular purposes.

Application/Control Number: 09/302,859

Art Unit: 2634

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-4082. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

kvk June 26, 2002

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINET TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600